

Response of rock-scour protection to earthquake-induced liquefaction for offshore wind applications

Diarmid M. Xu dmx20@cam.ac.uk

Academic Team: S.P.G. Madabhushi, C.N. Abadie, J.M. Harris and R.J.S. Whitehouse



Presentation Roadmap

1. Introduction

- > Scour and scour protection (OW monopiles)
- > Motivation
- 2. Centrifuge testing
 - > Turner beam centrifuge
 - > Model design
 - > Test parameters
- 3. Results
 - > Rock settlement
 - > Excess pore pressure comparison
 - > Rock settlement comparison
- 4. Conclusions and future work





Introduction: Scour





Motivation

- The current approach:
 - Install scour protection
 - Deep embedment depth
 - "Monitor and react"
- Limited information on seismic behaviour.

DNV

- Timescale uncertainty.
- Limited geotechnical information.
- Lack of large scale experiments.



Harris et al. (2019) adapted from Melville and Coleman (2000)



Turner Beam Centrifuge

- Proposed in 1969, 10m diameter
- "Scale" testing of non-linear behavior of soils
- 150g-ton capacity
- Model box: 730x397x250mm
- Earthquake simulation via servo-hydraulic shaker







Madabhushi et al. (2012)



Centrifuge testing: test schematic





Centrifuge testing







HR Wallingford Working with water

Centrifuge testing: model design and test parameters

- 50*g* test, loose Hostun HN31 sand (45%, d_{50} = 0.44mm)
- Rock Gs = 2.62 (limestone), D_{50} = 1m and 0.5m
- Rock Gs = 2.88 (granite), D₅₀ = 1m



Results: rock settlement

- Comparison of sand side and rock side settlement.
- Sine wave with PGA = 0.17g
- Rock settlement >0.3m
- Characteristic stop start motion.
- Initial settlement delay due to a few cycles being needed to build excess pore pressure to induce liquefaction.





Results: comparison of excess pore pressures

- Increase in overburden pressure on rock side "out paces" the increase in excess pore pressure compared to the sand side and thus delays full liquefaction.
- Slower excess pore pressure build up on rock side, as rocks sink, the soil shears and dilates, this prevents pore pressures increasing.
- Liquefaction limit in dotted red line.
- Full liquefaction where $r_u = 1$.

FDCD

Department of Engineering Research Council

• Still, significant rock settlement occurred.

HR Wallingford

Working with water



Results: comparison of rock settlements



HR Wallingford

Working with water



Conclusions

- 1. Rock berm settlements in the region of 300 mm are observed post seismic liquefaction.
- 2. The presence of rock delays the onset of full liquefaction compared to the freefield sand. (as theorised by Escribano (2017))
- 3. Despite not reaching full liquefaction, large settlements still occurred.
- 4. Small rocks settle more than larger rocks, as do higher density rocks (for large input motions).
- 5. The PIV technology deployed captures the sand failure mechanism during a dynamic centrifuge test.



Future work

- Explore the settlement of a plate
- Explore the effect of
 - Rock grading
 - Berm geometry
 - Further decrease rock size
- Inclusion of a model pile
 - Pre and post EQ push over test
- Further understand the evolution of excess pore pressure around a single rock





References

- Escribano, D. and Brennan, A. (2017). Stability of scour protection due to earthquake-induced liquefaction: Centrifuge modelling. Coastal Engineering, 129, pp. 50–58.
- Harris, J. M., Whitehouse, R.J.S., Tavouktsoglou, N.S., and Godinho, P.M. (2019) 'Foundation Scour as a Geohazard', Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering, 145(6), p. 4019022. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)WW.1943-5460.0000523.
- Madabhushi, G.S.P., Haigh, S.K., Houghton, N.E., and Gould, E. (2012). Development of a servo-hydraulic earthquake actuator for the Cambridge Turner beam centrifuge. International Journal of Physical Modelling in Geotechnics, 12(2), pp. 77–88.
- Standard DNVGL-RP-0585. (2021). Available at: https://www.dnv.com/energy/standards-guidelines/dnv-rp-0585-seismic-design-of-wind-power-plants.html.
- Xu, D.M., Abadie, C.N., Madabhushi, G.S.P., Harris, J. M., and Whitehouse, R.J.S. (2022). Response of armour rock-scour protection to earthquake-induced liquefaction for offshore wind applications. 10th ICPMG 2022 - Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Physical Modelling in Geotechnics. pp. 500-503.





Thank you

Diarmid M. Xu dmx20@cam.ac.uk

Academic Team: C.N. Abadie, S.P.G. Madabhushi, J.M. Harris and R.J.S. Whitehouse

